IN THE DISTRICT/SUPERIOR COUF	RT-FOR-THE-STATE-OF-ALASKA
AT <u>June</u>	eau
LIVING THE DREAM ALASKA ELC,	
Plaintiff(s),) vs.	
MERCEDES-BENZ USA, LLC,	
Defendant(s).	CASE NO. 1JU-18-00901 CI SUMMONS
)	AND NOTICE TO BOTH PARTIES OF JUDICIAL ASSIGNMENT
To Defendant: MERCEDES	BENZ USA, LLC
You are hereby summoned and required to file w which accompanies this summons. Your (address): P.O. Box 110400, J within 20 days* after the day you receive this sum	answer must be filed with the court at uneau, AK 99811-4100
In addition, a copy of your answer must be sent to	:
Plaintiff's attorney or plaintiff (if unrepreser Address: Northern Justice Project, LLC 310 K Street, Suite 200, Anchora	age. AK 99501
If you fail to file your answer within the require against you for the relief demanded in the complain	ed time, a default judgment may be entered
If you are not represented by an attorney, you mucase, in writing, of your current mailing address and telephone number. You may use court for Number (TF-955), available at the clerk's of www.state.ak.us/courts/forms.htm, to inform the courtest of the co	nd any future changes to your mailing address means to the court system's website at court.
If you have an attorney, the attorney must comply	with Alaska R. Civ. P. 5(i).
NOTICE OF JUDICIA	AL ASSIGNMENT THIS MATTER IS FORMALLY ASSIGNED TO
To: Plaintiff and Defendant OF 7000 are hereby given notice that this case has be	AMY G. MEAD
(SEAL) 8/28/18	CLERK OF COURT By: W. Wang
Date	Deputy Clerk
ATECTION State or a state officer or agency named a light have been served with this summons outside file your answer.	s a defendant has 40 days to file its answer. If e the United States, you also have 40 days to

CIV-100 (2/96)(st.3))8-cv-00235-JWS Document 1-1 Filed 10/05/Pigl Rules 4, 5, 12942(c), 55 Exhibit A SUMMONS

1 2	Goriune Dudukgian, AK Bar No. 0506051 James J. Davis, Jr., AK Bar No. 9412140 NORTHERN JUSTICE PROJECT, LLC	
3	310 K Street, Suite 200	
4	Anchorage, AK 99501 Tel: (907) 264-6634	
5	Fax: (866) 813-8645	
6	Email: gdudukgian@njp-law.com Email: jdavis@njp-law.com	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
8	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA	
9		
10		
11	LIVING THE DREAM ALASKA LLC,)	
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v. (
14	MERCEDES-BENZ USA, LLC,)	
. 15	Defendant.	
16) Case No. 1JU-18- <u>co90</u> CI	
17	COMPLAINT	<u> </u>
18		
19	COMES NOW plaintiff Living the Dream Alaska LLC, by and through counsel,]
20	the Northern Justice Project, LLC, and for its complaint against defendant Mercedes-Benz	4
21	USA, LLC, alleges and requests relief as follows:	
22		
23	JURISDICTION AND VENUE	
24	1. This Court has jurisdiction over this case pursuant to AS 22.10.020 as	
25	plaintiff is seeking damages in excess of \$100,000 and equitable relief which is beyond	
26		
27	the jurisdiction of the District Court.	
28		
	COMPLAINT Living the Dream Alaska LLC v. Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC CASE NO. 1JU-18- <u>OUTU</u> CI PAGE 1 OF 8	
1	Case 3:18-cv-00235-JWS Document 1-1 Filed 10/05/18 Page 2 of 9	Exhibit Page 2 of

2. Venue is proper under AS 22.10.030 and Alaska Civil Rule 3(c) as plaintiff's claims arose within the First Judicial District.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff Living the Dream Alaska LLC is an Alaska limited liability company with its principal place of business in Juneau, Alaska.
- 4. Defendant Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC is a Delaware limited liability company authorized to transact business within the State of Alaska. Defendant is engaged in the business of designing, manufacturing, and selling "motor vehicles," as that term is defined under AS 45.45.360(6), including the vehicle that is the subject of this lawsuit.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 5. On or about October 30, 2016, plaintiff purchased and took delivery of a new 2016 Mercedes-Benz Sprinter 2500 Crew Van, Vehicle Identification Number WD4FE7CD2GP327283 (hereinafter "the Vehicle").
- 6. Defendant was the "manufacturer" of the Vehicle, as that term is defined under AS 45.45.360(5).
- 7. The "full purchase price" for the Vehicle, as that term is defined under AS 45.45.360(4), was \$56,139.00.
- 8. Defendant expressly warranted in writing that "any authorized Van Dealer will make any repairs or replacements necessary to correct defects in material or workmanship arising" within 36 months or 36,000 miles, whichever occurred first, of when plaintiff took delivery of the Vehicle.

COMPLAINT
Living the Dream Alaska LLC v. Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC
CASE NO. 1JU-18PAGE 2 OF 8

- 9. Soon after purchasing the Vehicle, the plaintiff discovered a "nonconformity," as that term is defined under AS 45.45.360(7). Specifically, there is a clunking noise and vibration from the drive train when shifting into drive and reverse and upon acceleration of the Vehicle. Moreover, the Vehicle does not reliably engage or disengage from four-wheel drive and gets "stuck" in four-wheel drive for extended periods of time. These nonconformities substantially impair the use and/or market value of the Vehicle.
- 10. Defendant and/or its authorized Van Dealers have been unable to repair the nonconformities despite more than three attempts to do so.
- 11. Plaintiff has substantially complied with the notice requirements under Alaska's lemon law statute to claim a refund or replacement vehicle.
- 12. Defendant, to date, has refused to provide plaintiff with a refund of the full purchase price of the Vehicle or a new, comparable vehicle. Defendant stated in a letter dated August 31, 2017 from Tauren Grant, Aftersales Operations Manager, Western Region, that "MBUSA must . . . respectfully decline your request to repurchase" the Vehicle.
 - 13. The Vehicle continues to have the nonconformities with the drive train.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

COUNT I – VIOLATION OF THE ALASKA LEMON LAW STATUTE

14. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations in each of the preceding paragraphs.

COMPLAINT
Living the Dream Alaska LLC v. Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC
CASE NO. 1JU-18- (XYIO) CI
PAGE 3 OF 8

15. Defendant violated AS 45.45.305 by refusing to provide the plaintiff with a refund or replacement vehicle despite the fact that the defendant was unable to conform the Vehicle to an applicable express warranty after a reasonable number of attempts.

COUNT II – VIOLATION OF ALASKA'S UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES AND CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

- 16. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations in each of the preceding paragraphs.
- 17. Defendant is engaged in "trade or commerce" within the meaning of AS 45.50.471(a).
- 18. Defendant committed an unfair trade practice under AS 45.50.471(b) by refusing to provide the plaintiff with a refund or replacement vehicle despite the fact that the defendant was unable to conform the Vehicle to an applicable express warranty after a reasonable number of attempts.
- and proximate result of the defendant's failure to provide the plaintiff with a refund or replacement vehicle.

COUNT III – VIOLATION OF THE MAGNUSSON-MOSS WARRANTY ACT

- 20. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations in each of the preceding paragraphs.
- 21. The Vehicle is a "consumer product" as defined under the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2301(1), because it is normally used for personal, family, or household purposes.

COMPLAINT

Living the Dream Alaska LLC v. Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC

CASE NO. 1JU-18
PAGE 4 OF 8

- 22. Plaintiff is the original buyer of the Vehicle and is therefore a "consumer" as that term is defined under 15 U.S.C. § 2301(3).
- 23. Defendant is a "warrantor" and "supplier" as defined under 15 U.S.C. §§ 2301(4) and (5).
- 24. Defendant provided the plaintiff with a "written warranty" as defined under 15 U.S.C. § 2301(6).
- 25. Defendant has failed to conform the Vehicle to applicable written and implied warranties within a reasonable period of time and without charge to the plaintiff in violation of the Magnusson-Moss Warranty Act.
- 26. Plaintiff has been damaged as a result of defendant's breaches of its written and implied warranties as set forth in this Complaint. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to damages and equitable relief, including revocation of acceptance of the Vehicle, under 15 U.S.C. § 2310(d).

COUNT IV - BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY

- 27. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations in each of the preceding paragraphs.
- 28. Defendant's "Service and Warranty Information 2016" booklet expressly warrants "to the original and each subsequent owner of a new Sprinter vehicle that any authorized Van Dealer will make any repairs or replacements necessary to correct defects in material or workmanship arising during the warranty period." The warranty period is generally for 36 months or 36,000 miles, whichever occurs first, from when a buyer takes

COMPLAINT
Living the Dream Alaska LLC v. Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC
CASE NO. 1JU-18- (UMA) CI
PAGE 5 OF 8

delivery of the vehicle.

- 29. Plaintiff relied upon defendant's written warranty in purchasing the Vehicle. The warranty formed part of the basis of the plaintiff's bargain.
- 30. Defendant breached its express warranty because its authorized Van Dealers have failed to make the necessary repairs to correct the defects in the Vehicle's drive train and because the defendant has refused to provide plaintiff with a replacement vehicle.
- 31. Plaintiff notified defendant of the breach of express warranty within a reasonable time after discovering the breach.
- 32. Plaintiff has suffered and shall continue to suffer damages as a direct and proximate result of defendant's failure to repair or replace the Vehicle or refund its full purchase price within a reasonable period of time.

COUNT V - BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY

- 33. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations in each of the preceding paragraphs.
- 34. During all relevant times, defendant has been a "merchant" of motor vehicles, as that term is defined under AS 45.02.104(a).
- 35. The Vehicle purchased by the plaintiff was subject to an implied warranty of merchantability under AS 45.02.314.
- 36. The defects in the Vehicle's drive train rendered the Vehicle unfit for the ordinary purposes for which a crew van is used and thus unmerchantable.

COMPLAINT
Living the Dream Alaska LLC v. Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC
CASE NO. 1JU-18- OUMO CI
PAGE 6 OF 8

- 37. Plaintiff notified defendant of the breach of the implied warranty of merchantability within a reasonable time after discovering the breach.
- 38. Plaintiff has suffered and shall continue to suffer damages as a direct and proximate result of the defendant's breach of the implied warranty of merchantability with regard to the Vehicle.

COUNT VI - REVOCATION OF ACCEPTANCE

- 39. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations in each of the preceding paragraphs.
- 40. The defects in the Vehicle's drive train constitute a nonconformity which substantially impair the value of the Vehicle to the plaintiff.
- 41. Plaintiff reasonably believed that said nonconformity would be cured by the defendant within a reasonable period of time pursuant to the terms of the defendant's "Service and Warranty Information 2016" booklet for the Vehicle.
- 42. After multiple attempts by the defendant and/or its authorized Van Dealers to cure, it has become apparent that said nonconformity cannot be seasonably cured.
- 43. In a series of letters, emails and telephone calls beginning on or about August 24, 2017, plaintiff notified defendant of its revocation of acceptance of the Vehicle.
- 44. Defendant has refused to honor plaintiff's revocation of acceptance of the Vehicle and has not refunded the Vehicle's purchase price to the plaintiff. Rather, on August 31, 2017, defendant notified plaintiff that that "MBUSA must... respectfully

COMPLAINT

Living the Dream Alaska LLC v. Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC

CASE NO. 1JU-18- _____OV_O_ CI

PAGE 7 OF 8

Case 3:18-cv-00235-JWS Document 1-1 Filed 10/05/18 Page 9 of 9

Page 9 of 9

PAGE 8 OF 8